

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,106	06/30/2003	Christopher P. Foley	10761.1362	1052	
81331 Accenture/Fina	7590 02/08/201 negan, Henderson,	EXAMINER			
	ett & Dunner, LLP	JONES, MARCUS D			
Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

betty.finley@finnegan.com dianna.williams@finnegan.com catherine.vanhouten@finnegan.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,106	FOLEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marcus D. Jones	3714		

	Marcus D. Jones	3714						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>9</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension as set for this (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office leart han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if finely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:					
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3714						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant asserts that Papadopoulos, Gupta, Griffor, Cozena and Nourbakhtah failed to disclose or suggest every claim element in claims 1 and 3-30. Secilally that reliance on Gupta's recording of performance metric fails to teach the instant limitations. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Without acquiesing to Gupta's recording of performance metric during destination of many product is widely practiced by almost any business entity. For example, the example of shipbuilding or repairs was used during the previous interview (7 December 2009). During the specific project, certain aspects are continuously recorded and examined. Is the project within the proper time constraints? Budget constraints, and the like? As such it is important to illustract by which is done in a new and novel manner in the present invention. As stated in the Final Rejection, Papadopoulos discusses a utomatically generaling useful statistics that can be used by management to measure return on investment, help with budgeting and the like (col 7, In 63-67). In combination with Gupta's recording of performance metrics, recording vital information that affects the design and implementation of the software is achieved. This in essence, provides an automatic feedback system in which development of a better educational tool is constantly achieved by receptably evaluation the design process.